Threading Software Watermarks

University of Auckland New Zealand

Jasvir Nagra Clark Thomborson

Scenario





Software Watermarking

- embedding identifying information into a program
- Program is semantically equivalent to the original
- Embedded information can be extracted or detected
- Recognition is potentially keyed on a secret key



Dynamic Watermarking

embed information in the runtime behavior of a program

- watermarked program must be run before the mark can be extracted
- static analysis to determine runtime characteristics is hard
- Only one other dynamic watermarking scheme exists: CT algorithm



How do you robustly embed information in a software program using threads?

- We are interested in watermarks that are
 - robust
 - dynamic
- Outline
 - Using threads for encoding watermarks
 - Implementation in Java
 - Attacks against this scheme
 - Summary of notable features of our design

Why Threads?

- Ideally embedding a watermark should:
 - insert information
 - complicate analysis such that removing the watermark becomes difficult
- Static analysis of multithreaded programs is hard
 Djikstra, Ousterhout, Collberg
- Collberg et al. suggest using threads for obfuscation
- We go further and show how to embed watermarks using threads

Encoding Information in Threads

public class Foo {

}

```
public void run () {
    blockA();
    blockB();
}
```

```
public static void main ( String args ) {
   Foo foo = new Foo();
   foo.run();
```



Encoding Information in Threads



Encoding Information in Threads



Encoding Information in 1 reads

- Original program executes:
 - (t0, blockA), (t0, blockB)
 - (t0, blockA), (t1, blockB)
 - (t1, blockA), (t0, blockB)
 - (t1, blockA), (t1, blockB)
- Encoded program executes:
 - (t0, blockA), (t0, blockB)
 - (t1, blockA), (t1, blockB)
- Different encoded program:
 - (t0, blockA), (t1, blockB)
 - (t1, blockA), (t0, blockB)



Decompiling to Java

- Java requires well-nested monitors
 - at source level Java uses only a **synchronized** block
- Poorly nested monitors cannot be easily decompiled
 synchronized blocks cannot capture these semantics
- No alteration of the JVM is needed
 - exploit semantic difference between Java language & JVM
 - dynamically all monitor enter and exit calls are matched
 - security guarantees made by Java are maintained
- One more impediment for the attacker

Thread-based Watermarking

Overview





Bits to embed = 0100101001...

Tracing



- Alice selects a path through the program: A, B, D, E
- A subset of basic blocks that get executed on that path: A, D, E
- Embedding code in the basic blocks inserts the watermark
- For example Alice can embed "011" in A, D and E as shown

Embedding

- Divide each selected basic block into three pieces
- Create three new threads
- Execute the three pieces using the three threads
- Use locks to maintain semantic correctness
- Control which threads execute which piece
- Bit 0
 - (tA, piece1), (tB, piece2), (tC, piece3)
- Bit 1
 - (tA, piece1), (tB, piece2), (tA, piece3)

Detecting Thread Watermarks



- Annotate the program for tracing
- Run the program with secret input
- Decode the sequence of threads and locks found

Decoding Thread Watermarks

• Patterns of locks



Pattern Matching Attacks

• To keep the recognition dynamic, we have to prevent static pattern matching attacks distinguishing between bit0 and bit1

Bit 1

Bit 0 if (doneC || doneD) { if (!doneD) { monitorexit mutex0; monitorexit mutex1; monitorexit mutex0; monitorexit mutex1;

Pattern Matching Defense

- Static analysis will discover:
 - monitorexit takes different operands
 - predicates are different
- Merging predicates
 - use opaque predicates to collapse predicates
- Merging operands
 - operands to **monitorexit** in JVM appear on the stack
 - can obscure stack arguments
 - pointer aliasing

Opaque Predicates

- Opaque predicate is a
 - Non obvious tautology
 - Boolean expression
 - Value known to watermarker at watermarking time
 - Difficult for the attacker to deduce



Opaque Predicate Merge

- merge different predicates into a single statically indistinguishable predicate
- Bit 0

 (((doneC || doneD) && opaqueTrue) ||
 (!doneD && opaqueFalse))

• Bit 1

(((doneC || doneD) && opaqueFalse) || (!doneD && opaqueTrue))

Pattern Matching Defense

- Static analysis will discover:
 - predicates are different
 - monitorexit takes different operands
- Merging predicates
 - use opaque predicates to collapse predicates
- Merging operands
 - operands to **monitorexit** in JVM appear on the stack
 - can obscure stack arguments
 - pointer aliasing

Statistics

- Initial experiments using sample Java programs indicate:
 - Significant slowdown factor of ~8 on embedding a 48-bit watermark in a tightly optimized benchmark program without any I/O.
 - More modest slowdown factor <2 on GUI programs with a lot of user I/O
 - Achieved by avoiding tight loops and hotspots
 - Embedding a 48-bit watermark \rightarrow 60kB increase in size
 - Size increase approx. linear with number of bits inserted

Evaluation

- Obfuscation Attacks
 - renaming attacks
 - block reordering
 - method inlining/outlining
- Decompilation/Recompilation Attacks
 - only well-nested monitors can be expressed using synchronized blocks at Java source level
 - current decompilers fail to decompile watermarked programs
 - decompilation is possible in theory
 - Dava emulates these locks using a library
- Additive Attacks
 - insert additional thread switches into the program
 - inserts additional bits into the decoded bit string

Conclusion

• Problem:

How can we use threads to embed information in a program?

Solution

- Encode the watermark as a bit string
- Embed the bit string
 - locks control which threads execute selected basic blocks
- Detect watermark
 - trace the order in which locks get acquired
- Stealth prevent static analysis
 - Use pointer aliasing to hide which locks are used; and
 - Use opaque predicates to merge different predicates



• Questions?