Hiding program slices for software security

X. Zhang and R. Gupta University of Arizona

Software Piracy

- Software protection technique to prevent software piracy based on program slicing
- Prevent the malicious user to gain a working copy of the software that can be distributed for illegal use
- Does not prevent tampering

Idea: Software Splitting

- Split software modules into *open* and *hidden* components:
 - Open components installed and executed on unsecure machine
 - Hidden components installed and executed on secure machine
- Open components can be stolen but they are incomplete (they only provide a subset of the application functionality)
- Similar to server side execution

Idea: Software Splitting



Software module

Challenges

Resilience

Deriving the hidden components by observing the code of the open components and their run-time interactions with the hidden components requires a great deal of effort

• Cost

Limit the communication between hidden and open components

Splitting Transformation

• (S,C) program runtime state and code



• (s,c) additional variables and new code implementing the interaction between components

Hiding Modules

- Select one or more complete modules and treat them as hidden components does not work because the attacker could guess the functionality of the module
- Assuming that the attacker cannot guess the functionality of the module, we still need to find suitable module for hiding
- These modules should be self-contained but self-contained modules are not very common

Hiding Module Slices

- Construction of hidden components out of program slices such that their behaviour cannot be easily understood
- A program slice is composed by:
 - Variables
 - Expressions and assignments
 - Control flow

Variables

- Consider a function f and a subset of hidden variables of f:
 - Hidden components Hf that maintains the hidden variables
 - Open component Of
- Interaction between Hf and Of:
 - When Of computes a new value for a hidden variable v the new value is sent to Hf to update it
 - When Of needs to use v it recieves the current value from Hf
- All the references to hidden variables in Of are replaced by a single variable v in Of
- Dynamic analysis can recover the hidden variables

Expressions and Assignments

- Some statements that affect the values of hidden variables are moved to the hidden component
- All the statements that belong to the *forward-data slices* constructed by following data dependence edges originating at definitions of hidden variables
- An hidden variable may cause additional variables to be hidden (or partially hidden) in Hf
- More difficult to estabilish relations between the values that are exchanged between Hf and Of:
 - we do not know how many variables are hidden and
 - the form of expressions that matain them

Control Flow

- Move control ancestors of selected statements that belong to forward data slices of hidden variables
- Control ancestors are hidden if doing so will simultaneously introduce a control flow construct in Hf and remove or alter the control flow in Of
- Moving control flow in Hf makes the task of recovering hidden components more difficult

Function Selection

- The overall cost depends on the number of functions that are selected for splitting
- Contruct the call graph, identify a cut and split the functions that are part of the cut
 - Avoid functions that are called from inside a loop
 - No functions calls made by f are hidden in Hf
 - Only scalar variables local to f are considered as candidate hidden variables

Function Splitting

- Select a function f and a local variable ν for splitting
- *Hf* is given by fragments of code (statements) of *f* identified by an unique label ID
- In *Of* there are calls to *Hf* in the points where the statements have been removed: Hf([needed Of values],ID)

Function Splitting

- Step 1: construct Slice(f,v) starting from the statements defining v
- Step 2: examine the statements in *f* and Slice(f,v) to determine the set of hidden variables
- Step 3: split each statement *lhs* \tilde{A} *rhs* in Slice(f,v) between Hf and Of
 - Both lhs and rhs in Hf
 - Only lhs in Hf, because rhs cannot be placed in Hf (function call)
 - Only rhs in Hf, because variable lhs cannot be placed in Hf (array)
 - None

Function Splitting

- Step 4: examine the statements that are not in Slice(f,v) but that contain a reference/use/definition to a partially transferred variable
 - x à rhs and x is partially hidden: rhs is evaluated on Of and the result is sent to Hf in order to update the value of variable x
 - *Ihs A rhs* and *rhs* refers to *x*: a call to Hf preceeds this statement in Of in order to obtain the value of *x*

Example

function f()	function Of()	<pre>function Hf(int[],id)</pre>
int <mark>a</mark> ,b,c	int c,t	static int a,b,c
int …	int…	switch id
a à 3x + y	t à Hf([x,y],l1)	l1: a à 3t[0] + t[1]
		return(any)
bÃa+w	t à Hf([w],12)	12: b à a + t[0]
		return(any)
A[b-1] Ã	A[Hf([],13)] Ã	13: return(b-1)
		14: if $(t[0] > 10)$ then
if (y>10) then	t à Hf([y,x,w],l4)	c à a*t[1] + t[2]
c à a*x +w	if (t == 1) then	endif
else	c à 2x +w	return((t[0]>10)?:0:1)
C Ã 2x +w	endif	
endif		

Complexity of Hf

- leaked value (lv): lv = f(observable values)
- Arithmetic complexity of f :

AC(f,P) = <Type, Inputs, Degree>

• Control flow complexity of f:

CC(f) = <Paths, Predicates, Flow>

Software Splitting

- The complexity of the hidden components guarantees that it is difficult for an attacker to recover the hidden component
- Algorithm for measuring arithmetic and flow complexity of lv = f(P, observable values used). This algorithm helps in choosing between different splitting options
- Run time overhead 4% 58%