

Re-Trust Quaterly meeting Architecture Day report

**d'Annoville Jerome
Technical Project Manager**

06/19/07



Motivations

- ✦ Lack of common view on the design
- ✦ No time during quarterly meeting for reviews
- ✦ Many publications but no specifications
- ✦ List features on which there is a consensus
- ✦ Topics to be refine
- ✦ Feed-back from WP3 to WP2

Results (1/3)

- ★ Added values of HW in the project not clear
 - T3.2 co-obfuscation to clarify
 - Final demonstrator
- ★ TPM = the platform
 - Repository for hashes
 - Unique
- ★ Smartcard (SC) = the user
 - Repository for hashes, Part of the monitor processing
 - Manages user data / credentials
- ★ TPM/SC
 - TPM: not programmable, cannot be tampered
 - SC: programmable, Terminal communication can be eavesdropped

Results (2/3)

✦ HW Advantage

- Offload tasks for the server
- Identification of the platform / user

✦ Threat

- User is the main threat

✦ Monitor

- Monitor replacement: must be merged with some (application) code

✦ Platform

- Trust platform is out of scope
- Even on a Trusted platform an application can be tampered

Boundaries of trust

