# A cryptographic view on obfuscation

Dennis Hofheinz (CWI, Amsterdam)

## Intuition behind obfuscation



- Make code unintelligible
- But: preserve functionality

## **Applications of obfuscation**

- Practical: protect know-how
- "In between example": password check
- Theoretical:
  - Turn secret key crypto into public key crypto
  - (Fully) homomorphic encryption  $\rightarrow$  MPC
  - Implement ideal assumptions
    - Random oracles, generic groups, ideal ciphers

## **Negative results**

- [B+01]: All-purpose obfuscators do not exist
  - Some program classes cannot be obfuscated
  - Example artificial, composition of point functions
  - Does not rule out **specific** obfuscators
- [GR07]: Relaxing the notion does not help
- [Hada00,Wee05]: obfuscation  $\leftrightarrow$  learnability
  - *f* obfuscatable  $\Leftrightarrow$  *f* learnable from oracle access
- [B+01,GT05]: Unobfuscatability examples

## **Positive results**

- [LPS04]: "Practical" obfuscations using ROs
  - Control flow of programs obfuscated
- [C97,CMR98,Wee05,HMS07]: Point functions
  - Point function:  $f_s(x)=1$  iff s=x
  - Use case: password check: s=pwd, x=user input
- [HRSV07]: Obfuscation of re-encryption
  - Decrypt and encrypt under different public key

## **Contradictory results?**

- [Wee05]: Obfuscatable iff learnable
- [Wee05]: Obfuscation of point functions
- But: point functions not learnable from oracle!

- Major problem: No common definition
  - Results depend on definition
  - Exception: [B+01] (no all-purpose obfuscators)

## This talk

- [HMS07] obfuscation definition
  - "Remix" of previous definitions
  - Useful, not too weak:
    - Secret key crypto  $\rightarrow$  public key crypto possible
    - Password check possible
  - At the same time meaningful, not too strong:
    - Point functions easily obfuscatable  $\rightarrow$  pwd check
    - Secret key encryption in principle obfuscatable
- Note: this is not the only reasonable definition

• General idea:

#### A good obfuscation yields nothing but functionality

• ... just a little more formal:

#### O(f) is a good obfuscation of f $\Leftrightarrow$ O(f) provides the functionality of f, but nothing else

• The problematic part:

### O(f) is a good obfuscation of f $\Leftrightarrow$ O(f) provides the functionality of f, but nothing else

How to formalize "but nothing else"?

• Cryptographic version:

O(f) is a good obfuscation of f

 $\Leftrightarrow$ 

O(*f*) provides the functionality of *f* Everything that can be learned from O(*f*)...
 ... can also be learned from oracle access to *f*

• Cryptographic version, simulation-based:

O(f) is a good obfuscation of f

 O(f) provides the functionality of f
 There is a simulator S such that: O(f) computationally<sup>f</sup> indistinguishable from S<sup>f</sup>

even with oracle access to f

S<sup>f</sup> denotes S's output when run with oracle access to f understood: S has to be efficient

## **Our definition**

More realistic: class of functions

O(f) is a good obfuscation of class F={f}
⇔ on average (uniform f), it holds that
1. O(f) provides the functionality of f
2. There is a simulator S such that: O(f) computationally<sup>f</sup> indistinguishable from S<sup>f</sup>

- Why? f indexed, e.g., by secret pwd, key, ...
  - Why uniform distribution? Use cases!

## **Our definition**

• Complete definition, explicit formulation:

O(f) is a good obfuscation of class F={f}
⇔ on average (uniform f), it holds that
1. O(f) provides the functionality of f
2. There is a simulator S such that for all D, Pr[ D<sup>f</sup>( O(f) ) = 1 ] - Pr[ D<sup>f</sup>( S<sup>f</sup> ) = 1 ] is negligible

• Understood: complexity (O, S, D PPT)

## **Application: password check**

- Scenario: user tries to log into system
- Authentication through password query
- Goal 1: only correct password gives access
- First solution: store password on terminal
- Problem: what if a terminal gets corrupted?
- Goal 2: verification functionality, but not more

## **Application: password check**

- Goal 1: only correct password gives access
- Goal 2: verification functionality, but not more
- Solution 2: obfuscate point function f

– Definition:  $f_{pwd}(x)=1$  iff pwd=x

- Access iff  $f_{pwd}(user_input)$  evaluates to 1
- Goal 1 is 1st requirement on good obfuscation
- Goal 2 is 2nd requirement on good obfuscation

## **Point functions**

• But: how to obfuscate point functions?

O(f) is a good obfuscation of class  $F=\{f_{pwd}\}$   $\Leftrightarrow$ on average (uniform *pwd*), it holds that 1. O( $f_{pwd}$ ) provides the functionality of  $f_{pwd}$ 2. There is a simulator S such that:  $O(f_{pwd})$  computationally<sup>f</sup> indistinguishable from S<sup>fpwd</sup>

## **Point functions**

O(f) is a good obfuscation of class  $F=\{f_{pwd}\}$   $\Leftrightarrow$ on average (uniform *pwd*), it holds that 1. O( $f_{pwd}$ ) provides the functionality of  $f_{pwd}$ 2. There is a simulator S such that:  $O(f_{pwd})$  computationally<sup>f</sup> indistinguishable from S<sup>fpwd</sup>

• Solution:  $O(f_{pwd}) = g(pwd)$  for one-way perm. g

- S outputs g(pwd') for uniform, independent pwd'

– Looks like  $O(f_{pwd})=g(pwd)$  since *f*-oracle useless

## **Other properties**

• Secret key crypto  $\rightarrow$  public key crypto:

If you obfuscate the encryption algorithm (with hardwired secret key) of a symmetric encryption scheme

... you get a secure public key encryption scheme

- In principle, there are obfuscatable schemes
   But not very interesting: PKE interpreted as SKE
- Works **only** for passive adversaries (CPA)
- Result of [B+01] holds: no generic obfuscator

## Conclusion

- Obfuscation from a cryptographic viewpoint
  - No all-purpose obfuscator
  - Lots of definitions, not many positive results
  - But: no reason to be overly pessimistic!
- Not mentioned: composition of obfuscators
  - Core of impossibilities: composition defects
  - Essential for modular analysis
  - Open: reasonable composable results (RO?)