

Property-Based Attestation Approach and Virtual TPM

Ahmad-Reza Sadeghi

sadeghi@crypto.rub.de Horst Görtz Institute for IT-Security Ruhr-University Bochum, Germany www.trust.rub.de

ReTrust Meeting, Villach 2008



§ Motivation

§ Trusted Virtualization

Motivation

- Hardware virtualization a (re-discovered / reinvented) useful means to reduce to total cost
 - apparent in corporate data centers
 - however, workloads should be processed separately due to diversity of security objectives of the involved parties (see [Barham et al 2003, Sailer et al 2005])
- Combine hypervisors (Virtual Machine Monitors) with hardware-based root of trust
 - Hypervisors provide isolations of workloads
 - mediating access to physical resources by virtual machines
 - Hardware root of trust is resistant to software attacks and provides a basis for reasoning about the integrity of SW running on a platform

Possible Architecture



• Trusted Computing (TC) Technology (TPM, Trusted Execution Technology (TXT), Presidio, etc.)

Components

- TC enabled hardware
- Trusted Service Layer
 - Trust Manager: controls access to TPM interface
 - Compartment Manager
 - manages creation, updates, and deletion of compartments
 - measures compartments and assigns unique IDs to them
 - Storage manager
 - guarantees trusted storage, i.e., authenticity, confidentiality and integrity (and freshness) of stored data
 - has access to configuration of clients it is communicating to over trusted channel
 - Secure GUI
 - guarantees a trusted path to application
- Virtualization Layer
 - provides abstraction of physical machine
 - Provides isolation between virtual machines

Use Case: Corporate Computing

Private Environment

• e.g., Protection of hard disk encrytion Corportine **Environment**

- Classified: Stronger security requirement on usage of encryption keys bound to specific hardware
- Unclassified: migrate working environment at home



request/response path between vTPM-Manager, vTPMs and the hardware TPM

The Big Picture

- Trustworthiness in distributed IT systems
 - Different parties with potentially conflicting requirements involved
 - Cryptographic methods are of limited help
 - Example applications (signatures, Grid, online voting and banking,)
- How to define "trustworthiness"?
- How to determine/verify it?
- How could common computing platforms support such functionality?
 - Even a secure OS cannot verify own integrity
- The role of Trusted Computing
 - Enable the reasoning about the



§ TCG Approach to Trusted Computing

Basic Idea for Trusted Platform

- Trusted components in hardware and software
- Provides a variety of functions that must be trusted
 - in particular a set of cryptographic and security functions
- Creates a foundation of trust for software
- Provides hardware protection for sensitive data
 - e.g., keys, counters, etc.
- Desired goals
 - Trusted Computing Base (TCB) should be minimized
 - Compatibility to commodity systems



Trusted Computing Group (TCG)

- Consortium of IT-Enterprises (since April 2003)
 - Today more than 120 members [TCG]
 - www.trustedcomputing.org/about/members/
- Focus on development of hardware-enabled trusted computing and security technology across multiple platforms and devices
- Evolved from Trusted Computing Platform Alliance (TCPA)
 - Formed by Hewlett-Packard (HP), Compaq (today part of HP), IBM, Intel and Microsoft in January 1999
- Published various specifications
- Set up various working groups

TCG Main Specification

- Trusted Platform Module (TPM) [TPM2002, TPM2003, TPM2007]
 - Provides a set of immutable cryptographic and security functions
- Trusted Software Stack (TSS) [TSS2003, TSS2007]
 - Issues low-level TPM requests and receives low-level TPM responses on behalf of higher-level applications

§ Trusted Platform Module: Main TCG Specification

Trusted Platform Module (TPM)

- Current implementation is a cryptographic coprocessor
 - Hardware-based random number generation
 - Small set of cryptographic functions
 - Key generation, signing, encryption, hashing, MAC
- Offers additional functionalities
 - Secure storage (ideally tamper-resistant)
 - Platform integrity measurement and reporting
- Embedded into the platform's motherbook
- Acts as a "Root of Trust"
 - TPM must be trusted by all parties
- Two versions of specification available
- Many vendors already ship their platforms with a TPM [TPMMatrix2006]

TPM Architecture

System Interface (e.g., LPC-Bus)



TPM Integration into PC-Hardware



Integrity Measurement

Integrity Measurement

- Process of obtaining metrics of platform characteristics that affect the integrity (trustworthiness) of a platform and storing digests of those metrics to the TPM's PCRs
 - Platform characteristic = digest of the software to be executed

Platform Configuration Registers (PCR)

- Shielded location to store integrity measurement values
- Can only be extended: $PCR_{i+1} \leftarrow SHA-1(PCR_i, value)$
- PCRs are reset only when the platform is rebooted
- Integrity Logging
 - Storing integrity metrics in a log for later use
 - e.g., storing additional information about what has been measured like software manufacturer name, software name, version, etc.

Performing Integrity Measurements



- 1. CRTM measures entity E
- 2. creates Event Structure in TPM Event Log
 - SML contains the Event Structures for all measurements extended to the SM
 - SM Event Log can be stored on any storage device
 - E.g., hard disk
- 3. extends value into Registers
- 4. Executes/passes control

CRTM: Core Root of Trust for Measuremeotentity E

Core Root of Trust for Measurement (CRTM)

- Immutable portion of the host platform's initialization code that executes upon a host platform reset
- Trust in all measurements is based on the integrity of the CRTM
- Ideally the CRTM is contained in the TPM
- Implementation decisions may require to locate it in other firmware (e.g., BIOS boot block)

Two Possible CRTM Implementations

- CRTM is the BIOS Boot Block
 - BIOS is composed of a BIOS Boot Block and a POST BIOS
 - Each of these are independent components
 - Each can be updated independent of the other
 - BIOS Boot Block is the CRTM while the POST BIOS is not, but is a measured component of the Chain of Trust
- CRTM is the entire BIOS
 - BIOS is composed of a single atomic entity
 - Entire BIOS is updated, modified, or maintained as a single component



Trusted Software Stack (T System Services₂₁

TPM Keys

TPM Key Types

- TPM provides 9 different types of keys
 - 3 special TPM key types
 - Endorsement Key, Storage Root Key, Attestation Identity Keys
 - 6 general key types
 - Storage, signing, binding, migration, legacy and "authchange" keys
 - Most important key types explained in following slides
- Each key may have additional properties, the most important ones are
 - Migratable, non-migratable, certified migratable
 - e.g., whether the key is allowed to be migrated to another TPM
 - Whether the key is allowed only to be used when the ²³

Special Keys

• Endorsement key (EK)

- TPM identity
- Generated and certified during manufacturing
- RSA key

Attestation Identity Key (AIK)

- Used to sign to current platform configuration
- Alias for TPM/platform identity EK
- RSA key
- TPM/platform may have multiple AIKs

Storage Root Key

- Secure data storage implemented as a hierarchy of keys
- Storage Root Key (SRK) is root of this key hierarchy
- Generated by TPM during process of installing TPM Owner



TPM Key Hierarchy



- Depth of hierarchy and number of TPMprotected keys only limited by size of external storage
- Storage keys (StoreK)
 protect all other key
 types
 - Attestation ID keys (AIK)
 - Signing keys (SigK)
 - Binding keys (BindK)
 - Migration Keys (MigrK)
 - Symmetric keys (SymK)
- Transitive protection
 - SRK indirectly protects

TPM Key Object – Important Fields



§ Main Functionalities

Authenticated Boot

Bootstrap Architecture in PC



Bootstrap and Integrity Measurement



Bootstrap and Integrity Measurement



Binding and Sealing

Binding

- Conventional asymmetric encryption
- May be used to bind data to a specific TPM/platform
 - Data encrypted with nonmigratable key can only be recovered by TPM that knows corresponding secret key
- Usually no platform binding
 - Since binding can also be
 used with migratable keys

Sealing (extension of binding)

- Always binds data to a specific TPM/platform
 - Sealing can only be used with non-migratable storage keys
- Configuration of encrypting platform can be verified
 - Ciphertext includes platform's state at the time of encryption
- May bind data to a specific platform configuration
 - Data can be decrypted only if
 Distform is in a pro-defined

Integrity Reporting / Attestation

Attestation

- Authentic report of a platform's state to a (remote) verifier
 - A local or remote verifier (challenger) is interested in platform configuration (e.g., hard- and software environment)
 - Verifier is able to decide whether it trusts the attested configuration
 - e.g., an online-banking client checks whether the bank's server is in a known secure configuration (e.g., has not been tampered with)
- TPM and CRTM act as Root of Trust for Reporting
 - TPM can generate authentic reports of current integrity measurement values (current PCR content)

Requirements on Attestation

- Attest to all states of entities (machines) capable of affecting the behavior of the entity being attested
 - e.g., hard- and software environment of the attesting platform including history of all executed program code
- Attestation platform's state report
 - Integrity, confidentiality, freshness
- Authenticity of attestor
- Privacy
 - Regarding information disclosure on system configuration and platform identity

Simplified TCG Attestation Concept



 N_V Nonce (anti-replay value) chosen by the verifier C_{μ} current configuration of host H
Related TPM-Interface

- Reporting of PCR values signed by the TPM
 - Command: TPM_Quote2 and TPM_Quote (deprecated)
 - May be called by an attestation system service that handles attestation requests
- Input to TPM_Quote2 / TPM_Quote
 - AIK to be used to sign current PCR values
 - Nonce (anti-replay value)
 - Selection of PCRs to be reported
 - Indicator whether the TPM version and revision should be added to the signed report of PCR values
 - Authorization data for using the AIK

More Details about TCG Attestation



S_{PCR}	selection of PCR values V is interested in	ver _{TPM}	TPM version information
Iver	indicator whether V is interested in TPM version information	iopic	platform credential
N _v	Nonce (anti-replay value) chosen by the verifier	cc	Conformance Credential
h _{AIK}	pointer (handle) to the AIK to be used	ac	Attestation Credential (e.g., from Privacy C
A _{AIK}	authorization secret required to use AIK	log	TPM Event Log 38

AIK Certification: Privacy CA I

TPM Owner



- Prove to third parties that it's platform is in a trustable state
 - E.g., by reporting platform integrity measurements signed with a certified key
- Colluding third parties should not be able to track platform's transactions
 - E.g., by signing every integrity measurement report with a (ideally) different AlK for each

Privacy CA



- Trusted Third Party
- Attests that an AIK belongs to a valid TPM (Attestation Credential)
 - Protocol for certification of an AIK requires disclosure of public EK to Privacy CA

Property-Based Attestation

Problems of Binary Attestation/Sealing

• Discrimination

- Binding/Sealing allows content and application providers to enforce usage of a specific platform configuration
- Application vendors can exclude alternative software
- Availability
 - Changed binaries renders sealed data inaccessible
- Privacy
 - Verifiers can gain information on platform configurations
- Management
 - Hugh number of patches, various compiler options, software versions, development environment
 - Changes in binary values (digests) renders bound/sealed data inaccessible

Overview

- Verifier usually interested in properties not configuration
- Property (informally)
 - Describes an aspect of the behaviour of an object with respect to certain requirements (e.g., security-related)
- Properties can be defined on different abstraction levels
 - Privacy-preserving (built-in measures conform to the privacy laws)
 - Provides Multi-Level Security (MLS)
 - Evaluated by a governmental organisation
- Choice of a useful property set and its definition depends on the use case and its requirements

Abstract Model of PBA



secure channel

A Possible Approach

- Delegation-based PBA (DB-PBA)
 - Property attestor proves that another party has certified the desired properties (e.g., certificates [SaSt2004,KuSeSt2007])
 - Hybrid approach since current TPM is used
 - Offline determination of provided properties
 - Well-suited for enterprise environment
- How to represent property certificates?
 - Trusted Third Party T with key pair (SK_T, PK_T)
 - Set of properties $p = \{p_0, ..., p_n\}$
 - Code with configuration C_H and property p
 - cert_T(p, S) := Hash(C_H), p, Sign_T(p , cs)

Possible Instantiations of DB-PBA I

- Trusted Attestation Service
 - A trusted software service performs necessary actions
 - Software service is binary attested
 - Service must be fully trusted by the verifier
- Hardware-based certificate verification
 - TPM evaluates property certificates
 - New TPM commands required

Possible Instantiation of DB-PBA II

Group signatures

- Public group signature key represents a property while private keys represent different configurations
- Trusted Third Party generates keys
- TPM functionality has to be extended
- Prove possession of a valid certificate
 - Proof of membership
 - Verifier does not need to trust host

Prove Possession of Valid certificate I



47

48

Prove Possession of Valid certificate II



Cryptographic Proof III



 $\begin{array}{lll} C_{\mathsf{H}} \mbox{ current configuration of host } \mathsf{H} & \mbox{ cs} := \mathsf{Hash}(\ C_{\mathsf{H}}\) \\ p & (\mbox{ security}) \mbox{ property of } C_{\mathsf{H}} & \mbox{ cert}_{\mathsf{CI}} := \mbox{ sign}_{\mathsf{CI}}(\ p\ ,\ cs\) \end{array}$

Exploring Other Approaches

Code control

- Property attestor is trusted to enforce that a machine can only behave as expected
- e.g., reference monitor to attest both OS and the enforced security policy (e.g., [MaSmBaSt2004] for SE Linux [LoSm2001])

Code analysis

- Property attestor derives the machine's properties or verifies proof of properties
- e.g., proof-carrying codes and semantic code analysis (e.g., [Necu2002], [HaChFr2003])

Mapping Properties to PCRs

Requirement

- reuse existing mechanisms based on PCRs
 - Remote Attestation
 - Sealing, Binding
- Solution
 - Use PCRs to store properties instead of binary hash values
 - Instead of extending PCR with H(S):
 - 1) Extend PCR_i with $H(PK_T)$
 - 2) Find C = $cert_T(p,S)$
 - 3) Validate signature in C
 - 4) If valid, extend PCR_i with p, otherwise by 0^{160}

PBA with Bootloader I

- BIOS measures boot loader and extends PCRs (binary measurement)
- For every module to be loaded by the boot loader
 - 1) Extend PCR_{b+i} with $H(PK_T)$
 - 2) Find C = $cert_T(p,S)$
 - 3) Validate signature in C
 - 4) If valid, extend PCR_{b+j} with p, otherwise by 0^{160}
- PCR_{b+1}...PCR₂₃ represent properties p certified by T
- Attestation, Binding, and Sealing can be used as usual
- After a software update, only a new

Solution with Bootloader II



Virtual TPM (vTPM)

Overview

- Enables virtual machines (VM) on a single hardware platform to use the same physical TPM
- Full software implementation of the TPM specification with additional functionalities to manage virtual TPM (vTPM) instances

Requirements on vTPM I

- Confidentiality and integrity of vTPM state
 - it includes Endorsement Key (EK), the Storage Root Key (SRK), the owner's authorization data (i.e., password), and monotonic counters
- Secure link to chain of trust
 - Linkage between hardware and software TPM
- Unclonability and Secure Migration
- Freshness
- Distinguishability of hardware and software TPM

Requirements on vTPM II

Data availability

• Sealed data must be accessible if the security policy is fulfilled, this includes migration

Privacy protection

- User can decide over the information disclosure on platform's concrete configuration
- Flexible key types

Existing Solutions on vTPM and Open Problems

Possible vTPM Architecture



request/response path between vTPM-Manager, vTPMs and the hardware TPM

Linking vTPM to TPM

- Certified AIK signs vEK and vAIK is certfied by PrivacyCA
 - Conform to TCG model but needs communication with Privacy CA
- Certified AIK signs vAIK
 - Not standard
- In above solutions AIKs must be invalidated once VM is resumed on the target platform
- Alternative: rely on a local authority to issue certificates for EKs of vTPM instances
 - No direct connection to the TPM
 - But the service can be attested

Migration of vTPMs



Problems Not Solved

- Migration to platforms with different binary VMM
 - Binary-based integrity measurement
 - Problems with mapping lower PCRs to lower vPCRs
- Large TCB
 - VMM, vTPM Manager, vTPM instances
 - and a whole guest OS in one VM
- Only one type of TPM keys supported
- Software Updates?

Endorsement Credentials for vTPMs





Certification of vTPM's EK using an AIK and the TPM_Quote command:

TCG intended N to act as $N \leftarrow SHA-1($ certInfo(EK_{vTPM})) anti-replay value (nonce) $\sigma_{\text{TPM}} = \text{sign}_{\text{AIK}}(\text{ PCR[} S_{\text{PCR}} \text{] }, \text{ N }) \leftarrow \text{TPM}_{\text{Quote}}(\text{ } h_{\text{AIK}}, \text{ } A_{\text{AIK}}, \text{ } S_{\text{PCR}}, \text{ N })$ selection of PCR registers which should be signed pointer to the AIK authorization (e.g., those containing to be used to sign data for using the digests of environment of AIK S_{PCR} the VM) **Resulting EC for vTPM:**

 $\operatorname{cert}_{AIK}(\mathsf{EK}_{\mathsf{vTPM}}) \leftarrow (\operatorname{certInfo}(\mathsf{EK}_{\mathsf{vTPM}}), \sigma_{\mathsf{TPM}})$

Flexible Key Generation and Usage

- Both hardware and software keys should be usable by a compartment
 - Example: Corporate computing at home
 - Boot802.1x (non-migratable) requires a hardware key
 - Other compartments (migratable) require software keys
- Security kernel should enforce privacy requirements
 - AIK/DAA management and usage by security service
 - Example: Service creates new AIK for every attestation procedure
- Decisions should be made by mandatory privacy and security policy!

Alternative Approach



Possible Alternative Solution: Logical vTPM Architecture



Property Based Approach



Migration of vTPMs



Conclusion and Future Work

- Trusted Computing is an emerging technology, however, many challenges remain:
- Property-based Attestation
 - What are useful properties? How to formalize them? What are the most efficient approaches for property-based Attestation in practice?
- TPM
 - How to design a minimum TPM? How to detect trapdoors and Trojans? More effective revocation and maintenance mechanisms
- Privacy protecting and anti-discriminating designs
- Enabling security protocols with TC functionalities
- Trusted Virtual Domain
- Applications of multiparty computation for real world
- Lightweight TC for embedded systems
- Formal proofs in security models