### Practical Secure Remote Computation

Amir Herzberg Haya Shulman

### Talk Outline

- Basic two-party computation building blocks:
  - Yao's garbled circuit
  - Oblivious transfer
- Secure two-party computation with offline trusted third party against malicious adversaries
- Fair two-party computation

### One-round secure computation and secure autonomous mobile agents

• Secure function evaluation (SFE):

Alice has an input x and Bob has an input y. The goal is to compute  $f(x,y)=(f_1(x,y),f_2(x,y))$  in one round computation, such that Alice obtains  $f_1(x,y)$  and Bob obtains  $f_2(x,y)$ 

- Any function computable by a poly sized circuit has a oneround secure computation scheme
  - Cryptographic tools:
    - Yao's garbled circuits
    - One-round oblivious transfer
- Security properties:
  - Privacy of the inputs supplied by local host
  - Integrity of the computation

### Cryptographic Tools: Garbled Circuit

- Represent f(',') as a Boolean circuit
- Remote host "garbles" the circuit:
  - $-\forall$  wire, assigns random strings representing 0/1
  - $-\forall$  gate, constructs a "secure" garbled truth table
- Remote host sends to local the garbled tables and random strings corresponding to its input
- Local host uses (1-2)oblivious transfer to obtain garbled strings of its input
- Evaluates the garbled circuit, and obtains the result

#### Cryptographic Tools: Garbled Circuit Example: AND Gate Construction



#### Cryptographic Tools: Oblivious Transfer

- Can be based on most public-key systems
- The sender has two inputs, and the receiver wants to learn one of them, at the end of the protocol:
  - the receiver learns this input and nothing else
  - the sender should not learn which input this was



### Secure Two-Party Computation in Malicious Setting

- Secure Function Evaluating (SFE) based on garbled circuits is secure against semi-honest adversaries only
  - If Alice is malicious she can learn Bob's input by sending incorrect representation for one of Bob's input bits
- Theoretical solution: use a cut-and-choose protocol
  - Alice sends many circuits
  - Bob requests to expose all but one
    - If all constructed correctly Bob evaluates the garbled circuit on its secret input
  - Inefficient: cheating is detected with probability related to the number of garbled circuits

# Secure Two-Party Computation with Offline *TTP* in Malicious Setting

- Our solution: use *TTP* to construct and sign the garbled circuit computing a known function
- Offline generation phase, performed by *TTP*:
  - Constructs a circuit C computing a known function f, and garbles C to obtain C'
  - Generates a signature key-pair (sk,vk) and signs the garbled circuit
    - For each input of Bob: the signature is on the random string representing the input bit of Bob, the value of the bit and the index

# Secure Two-Party Computation with Offline *TTP* in Malicious Setting

- Execution phase:
  - Alice sends Bob the garbled circuit
    - i.e., garbled tables, and strings for her inputs
    - Runs OT to transfer to Bob representation for his input
  - Bob evaluates the circuit on his input, and returns the result to Alice
- But, malicious Alice can cheat:
  - E.g., sends an incorrect representation of input 1 and correct for  $\boldsymbol{0}$
  - Bob fails to evaluate if has input 1
  - Alice learns this input bit value
- Solution: *TTP* encodes circuit to ensure detection of malicious behaviour **without** exposing Bob's input

# Secure Two-Party Computation with Offline *TTP* in Malicious

- Solution: *TTP* encodes circuit to ensure detection of malicious behaviour **without** exposing Bob's input
  - Each input wire of Bob at level 0 (input to the circuit) is replaced by a majority gate, e.g., 2 out of 3 (input values)
    - To read bit 0 Bob provides to OT two 0-es and one 1 (the correct majority values and complement for minority values)
  - Alice and Bob run OT for each input bit (to majority gate) of Bob



### **Encoded Circuit Construction**

Each input wire at level zero is replaced by a majority gate



			 $\overline{V}^{\dagger}(1)$	<u>Γι<sub>δ</sub> (2)</u>	RP (2)	<b>D</b> b
			$K_0^B(1)$	$K_0^{B}(2)$	$K_0^{B}(3)$	$K_0^{B}$
			$K_0^{B}(1)$	K <sub>0</sub> <sup>B</sup> (2)	K <sub>1</sub> <sup>B</sup> (3)	$K_0^B$
			K <sub>0</sub> <sup>B</sup> (1)	К <sub>1</sub> <sup>в</sup> (2)	$K_0^{B}(3)$	$K_0^B$
K. <sup>A</sup>	$K_t^B$	$K_b^A \wedge K_b^B = K_b^{BAA}$	$K_0^B(1)$	$K_1^B(2)$	K <sub>1</sub> <sup>B</sup> (3)	Кıв
K₀^	K <sub>0</sub> <sup>B</sup>	K₀ <sup>baa</sup>	$K_1^B(1)$	$K_0^{B}(2)$	$K_0^{B}(3)$	K <sub>0</sub> <sup>B</sup>
K₀^	K <sub>l</sub> <sup>B</sup>	K₀ <sup>baa</sup>	K <sub>1</sub> <sup>B</sup> (1)	$K_0^{B}(2)$	K <sub>1</sub> <sup>B</sup> (3)	Кıв
Kı^	$K_0^{B}$	K₀ <sup>baa</sup>	K <sub>1</sub> <sup>B</sup> (1)	К <sub>1</sub> в(2)	K <sub>0</sub> <sup>B</sup> (3)	Кıв
Kı^	$K_l^{B}$	K <sub>1</sub> baa	$K_1^{B}(1)$	К <sub>1</sub> <sup>в</sup> (2)	$K_1^B(3)$	$K_1^B$

TZ BADA TZ BADA

# Secure Two-Party Computation with Offline *TTP* in Malicious Setting

- Alice runs OT with Bob for each majority gate
  - E.g., three inputs to majority gate
  - Bob requests two bits that encode the value of its real input and requests one complement bit
- If Alice encoded incorrectly either bit this is detected, yet Bob's input is kept secret

#### Secure Two Party Computation Against Malicious Adversaries



### Fair Two Party Computation

- Alice has input *x* and Bob has input *y* and they wish to evaluate a common function *f* on their inputs, s.t.
  - Alice receives  $f_1(x,y)$  and Bob receives  $f_2(x,y)$
  - Or both receive failure
- Given a protocol  $\Pi_{G}$  to implement  $g(x,y)=(z, \cdot)$  with output z at Alice only, construct a fair protocol  $\Pi_{F}$ to implement f using an offline trusted third party TTP

### Fair Two Party Computation



### Secure Fair Validated Computing

- Alice's input may be a program
- Validate the input of Alice to prevent execution of malicious program

– e.g., exposing input of Bob

• Construct practical validated computing using the protocols presented before